



ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD

MAIN FLOOR CITY HALL
1 SIR WINSTON CHURCHILL SQUARE
EDMONTON AB T5J 2R7
(780) 496-5026 FAX (780) 496-8199

NOTICE OF DECISION NO. 0098 445/10

Altus Group Ltd
17327 - 106A Avenue
Edmonton AB T5S 1M7

The City of Edmonton
Assessment and Taxation Branch
600 Chancery Hall
3 Sir Winston Churchill Square
Edmonton AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held between August 23 and October 21, 2010 respecting a complaint for:

Roll Number 4151692	Municipal Address 10634 214 Street NW	Legal Description Plan: 9021908 Block: 1 Lot: 9
Assessed Value \$696,000	Assessment Type Annual – New	Assessment Notice for: 2010

Before:

Tom Robert, Presiding Officer
Dale Doan, Board Member
Mary Sheldon, Board Member

Board Officer:

Segun Kaffo

Persons Appearing: Complainant

Walid Melhem

Persons Appearing: Respondent

Steven Radenic, Assessor
Steve Lutes, Law Branch

PROCEDURAL MATTERS

Upon questioning by the Presiding Officer, the parties indicated no objection to the composition of the Board. In addition, the Board members indicated no bias with respect to the file.

All parties giving evidence during the proceedings were sworn by the Board Officer.

PRELIMINARY MATTERS

Upon commencement the Respondent indicated that a recommendation to reduce the subject's assessment had been accepted by the Complainant. The Respondent presented to the Board a Recommendation signed by both parties to reduce the assessment to \$600,000.

ISSUES

The Complainant had attached a schedule listing numerous issues to the complaint form. However, most of those issues were abandoned and only the following issues remained for the Board to decide:

- Based on comparable sales, is the assessment deemed to be reflective of market value?
- When compared to comparable property assessments, is the subject property's assessment equitable?

LEGISLATION

The Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c. M-26;

s.467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.

s.467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration

- a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,*
- b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and*
- c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.*

POSITION OF THE COMPLAINANT

The Complainant agrees with the recommendation highlighted under preliminary matters above.

POSITION OF THE RESPONDENT

The Respondent agrees with the recommendation highlighted under preliminary matters above.

DECISION

The decision of the Board is to reduce the current assessment to \$600,000.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

The current assessment of the subject is reduced based on the recommendation of the Respondent and acceptance by the Complainant.

DISSENTING OPINION AND REASONS

There was no dissenting opinion.

Dated this 4th day of November, 2010, at the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta.

Presiding Officer

This Decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c.M-26.

CC: Municipal Government Board
392995 Alberta Ltd.